





2026:DHC:6582



accused/applicant has initiated his submissions. It is stated by learned Senior Counsel that even after withdrawal of protection against arrest her by way of order dated 08.07.2026, the accused/applicant joined investigation by visiting the IO on 20.07.2026 and 06.08.2026, but the IO did not arrest which shows that the accused/applicant is not required for any custodial interrogation.

4. The IO/SI Rajak Ahmad present in Court admits that after withdrawal of protection, the accused/applicant joined investigation by reporting to him on the said two dates, but he opted not to arrest her. In response to a specific query, the IO submits that at present he does not want to arrest the accused/applicant. To arrest or not is obviously prerogative of the IO.

5. That being so, the present anticipatory bail application is disposed of directing that in case the IO considers it necessary to arrest the accused/applicant, he shall give her a prior notice of two weeks so that she may approach the Court of Sessions for grant of anticipatory bail, if so advised.

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: cn=[N], o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=94016d889927a77b365fffe4afcc
45569a3962c6fb4835d435f97626caca,
ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, c=IN, postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=93a86796451ec45c07b5d15
570996b40f80cb2ee60402c487965ff801
e26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.08.12 17:31:12 +05'30'

GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)

AUGUST 12, 2026/rs