





2026:DHC:6157



4. Keeping in mind the circumstances of this case, I have spoken in Hindi with respondent no. 2. It is stated by her that since December, 2025, she is living happily with the petitioner no. 1, though separately from the remaining petitioners. It is also stated by her that the son born from her wedlock with petitioner no. 1 is in care and custody of petitioner no. 2 and 3 while daughter born to them is living with them only in a tenanted accommodation. The respondent no. 2 submits that she does not wish to continue prosecution of petitioners since now she is happily settled.

5. Having spoken with respondent no. 2, I am satisfied that it would be in the interest of justice not to push the parties through full dress trial. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 326/2023 of PS Baba Haridas for offence under Section 498A/406/34 IPC is quashed.

6. However, keeping in mind the period of resumption of matrimony, coupled with the fact that petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 are living in rented accommodation, in order to rule out any possibility of petitioner no. 1 deserting respondent no. 2 and their daughter, it is made clear that in case of any such exigency, the proceedings shall stand revived on the basis of fresh complaint of the respondent no. 2.

**GIRISH
KATHPALIA**
Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401dd889b27a77b2f65ffffe4fec45569af39
62c6fb4835d435f97626cacca, ou=HIGH COURT OF
DELHI/CID=7047638, postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d15570996b4
0f80cbd2ee60402c487965f1801e26fa, cn=GIRISH
KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.07.31 17:17:11 +05'30'
**GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)**

JULY 31, 2026
'rs'