



2026:DHC:6137



\$~79

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of Decision: 31.07.2026# **CNR No. DLHC010344572026**+ **BAIL APPLN. 3030/2026 & CRL.M.A. 22935/2026**

INDERPAL ALIAS BHAIRO

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shyam Manohar, Mr. Lav Kumar
Gupta, Mr. Ambrish Kumar and Ms.
Kajal Kumari, Advocates.

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF N.C.T) OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Deepak Kumar.

CORAM: JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA**J U D G M E N T (ORAL)**

1. The accused/applicant seeks regular bail in case FIR No. 03/2024 of Police Station Jahangir Puri for offence under Section 307/120B/147/148/149/34 IPC read with Section 25/27 of the Arms Act.

2. Broadly speaking, the relevant circumstances extracted from the FIR are as follows. On 04.01.2024, at about 04:30pm, when the complainant *de facto* was going to a park near his home, he saw the present accused/applicant standing outside the park with 07-08 other boys including Akash, Mukesh, Babu, Kanna, Suri, Sanju and Dehla. When the



complainant *de facto* was inside the park and talking to his friend Nandu, he saw that Akash, Mukesh, Kanna and Suri jumped across the wall of the park after which Mukesh exhorted, and Akash and Suri opened fire. But the shot misfired and hit a child, after which the complainant *de facto* fled.

3. Learned counsel for accused/applicant contends that he is in custody since 14.03.2024 and remaining accused persons, especially Akash and Suri, who allegedly opened fire have already been granted bail.

4. Learned APP assisted by Investigating Officer/SI Deepak Kumar accepts notice and in all fairness admits that the role ascribed to the accused/applicant in the FIR is not related to the alleged incident of firing, in the sense that the present accused/applicant was simply standing outside the park when the complainant was entering the park and thereafter, the present accused/applicant did not enter the park, while the co-accused persons opened fire.

5. Considering the overall circumstances, no purpose would be served by keeping the accused/applicant in jail forever, especially because testimony of the complainant *de facto* as well as the injured child already stands recorded before the trial court.

6. Therefore, the bail application is allowed and the accused/applicant is directed to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bond in the



2026:DHC:6137



sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court. Accompanying application also stands disposed of.

7. Copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for being conveyed to the accused/applicant.

**GIRISH
KATHPALIA**

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401dd889b27a77b2f65ffffe4afec4
5569af962c6fb4835d435f97626cacca,
ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d15
570996b40f80cbd2eee60402c487965ff801e
26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.07.31 17:25:12 +05'30'

**GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)**

JULY 31, 2026_{/ry}