

 $\$ \sim 1$

*** IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of Decision: 17.07.2026

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1648/2026**

RAM HARI RAI

....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aditya Aggarwal, Mr. Naveen Panwar, Ms. Manvi Gupta, Ms. Japneet and Mohd. Yasir, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with IO/SI Yashpal.

CORAM: JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

J U D G M E N T (ORAL)

1. The accused/applicant seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 74/2026 of Police Station Amar Colony for offence under Section 20 of NDPS Act.
2. Broadly speaking, the allegation against the accused/applicant is that he provided accommodation to the main accused Man Bahadur, from whom commercial quantity of *charas* was recovered. On last date of hearing, after hearing both sides, the accused/applicant was granted interim protection from arrest till this date.



6. Learned APP, on instructions of Investigating Officer, contends that the accused/applicant did not join investigation despite having been granted



interim protection from arrest by last order. Learned counsel for accused/applicant submits that the Investigating Officer never called the accused/applicant to join investigation. On this aspect, the Investigating Officer submits that despite efforts, he could not trace out the accused/applicant, but he can produce neither any notice taken to be served on the accused/applicant, nor any DD Entry recorded by him before proceeding to the house of the accused/applicant nor any Case Diary of the present case, reflecting any such effort done by him.

7. It is also submitted by learned APP that in an earlier case, the accused/applicant was granted bail but became untraceable, so his surety was called by the trial court and the surety expressed unawareness about the address of the accused/applicant. On this aspect, the Investigating Officer has not filed any document reflecting any effort done by him to verify the address of the accused/applicant as disclosed in memo of parties to this bail application. As mentioned above, even status report has not been filed. Going a step deeper, it is also not denied that the Investigating Officer never tried to contact the counsel for the accused/applicant or even the learned prosecutor to report to them that the accused/applicant is not traceable.

8. At this stage during dictation of this order, learned APP requests that learned counsel for accused/applicant be directed to provide to the Investigating Officer, any address of the accused/applicant other than the one mentioned in the memo of parties, if so available, along with mobile



2026:DHC:5710



phone number of the accused/applicant. Learned counsel for accused/applicant assures to take appropriate instructions from his client.

9. Considering the overall circumstances narrated above, I find no reason to deprive the accused/applicant liberty. The application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of his arrest, the accused/applicant shall be released on bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the IO/SHO concerned.

10. Copy of this order be sent to the Commissioner of Police through Senior Standing Counsel directing issuance of appropriate instructions to the investigating officers across Delhi, since similar directions issued earlier to DCPs yielded no result.

**GIRISH
KATHPALIA**

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401dd889b27a77b2f65ffffe4af
ec45569af3962c6fb4835d435f97626cacc
a, ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, CID=
7047638, postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d
15570996b40f80cbd2eee60402c487965f
f801e26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.07.17 17:25:33 +05'30'

**GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)**

JULY 17, 2026/ry