

2026:DHC:5579

 $\$ \sim 8$

*** IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of Decision: 13.07.2026

 $+$

BAIL APPLN. 2257/2026, CRL.M.A. 18081/2026, 18118/2026 & 18082/2026

KARAN PARCHA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Panna Lal Sharma, Advocate.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with Inspector/Adesh Kumar.

CORAM: JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

J U D G M E N T (ORAL)

1. The accused/applicant seeks interim bail for a period of one month in case FIR No.727/2022 of PS Vijay Vihar for offence under Section 376(2)(n)/506 IPC and 66E & 67 of IT Act.
2. The status report is stated to have been filed by prosecution but the same is not on record.
3. I have heard learned counsel for accused/applicant and learned APP

BAIL APPLN. 2257/2026

Page 1 of 3 pages

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GRIISH KATHIPALJA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401d3889b27a77b26f5ffff4afec45
569af3962cfb848354d3599762bcacca,
ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, CN = 704763B,
postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e8796451ec4507b5d1557
09964e40f80bc2ee60402c487965f8101e26a
cn=GRIISH KATHIPALJA
Date: 2016.07.13 13:06:09 +0530

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By:RAHUL YADAV
Signing Date:13.07.2026
17:27:19



4. Broadly speaking, the allegation against the accused/applicant is that he raped the prosecutrix and posted her objectionable pictures on Instagram. The interim bail sought by the accused/applicant is on the ground that he has to get his child admitted in a school.

5. Learned counsel for accused/applicant submits that page 32 of the paperbook is a copy of the fee slip, reflecting the fees required to be paid to the school and for arranging money, the accused/applicant has to come out.

6. Learned APP strongly opposes the interim bail on the ground of severity of offence as well as the fact that mother of the accused/applicant is already employed with MCD and can easily pay the amount of Rs.6,000/-, as reflected from the fee slip filed by the accused/applicant. It is also contended that the accused/applicant is involved in as many as 18 more cases. On this, learned counsel for accused/applicant submits that presently, only 5 cases are pending against the accused/applicant.

7. The fee slip relied upon by learned counsel for accused/applicant does not bear name of child of the accused/applicant. Even the amount mentioned in the slip is not such that mother of the accused/applicant, who is employed with MCD, is unable to spend.



2026:DHC:5579



8. Considering the above circumstances, I do not find it a fit case to grant interim bail. Therefore, the interim bail application and accompanying applications are dismissed.

9. Copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for being informed to the accused/applicant.

**GIRISH
KATHPALIA**

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=a01c0809b27a77b265fffe4afec455
69af3962c0fb4835d435f97626cacca, ou=HIGH
COURT OF DELHI/CID - 7047638,
postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e9c706451ec45c07b5d15570
996b40f80cbd2eeec6402c487965f801e26fa,
cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.07.13 17:04:45 +05'30'

**GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)**

JULY 13, 2026/ry