



2026:DHC:5413



6. To add to it, the accused/applicant has even tried to fabricate a version to save himself by alleging that he had lodged a police complaint about an assault on him. The said police complaint was lodged on 03.04.2026, i.e. next day after the incident pertaining to the present case. Not only this, learned counsel for accused/applicant admits that no medical examination or treatment was taken by the accused/applicant from any government or even private hospital after the alleged assault on him.

7. As mentioned above, the *danda* allegedly used by the accused/applicant is yet to be recovered and according to the IO, the accused/applicant has not been cooperating in that recovery.

8. It is not a case of regular bail. It is a case of anticipatory bail. Grant of anticipatory bail in such a case would convey wrong signals across the society, especially to the youth, conveying that one can assault anyone with impunity and obtain bail from courts.

9. I do not find it a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the accused/applicant.

10. Therefore, anticipatory bail application is dismissed.

**GIRISH
KATHPALIA**

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401dd889b27a77b2f65ffffe4afec45569af
3962c6fb4835d435f97626cacca, ou=HIGH COURT
OF DELHI, CN=7047638, postalCode=110003,
st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d15570996b
40f80cbd2eeee60402c487965ff801e26fa, cn=GIRISH
KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.07.07 17:20:33 +05'30'

**GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)**

JULY 07, 2026/as