



2026:DHC:5261



\$~7

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

*Date of Decision: 01.07.2026*+ **CRL.M.C. 1981/2026**

AJAY SHARMA &amp; ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Umesh Kumar, Advocate

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) &amp; ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Hemant Mehla, APP for State  
with ASI Vikram Singh.**CORAM: JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA****J U D G M E N T (ORAL)**

1. Petitioners seek quashing of case FIR No. 142/2022 of PS Karawal Nagar for offence under Section 406/498A/34 IPC and Section 4 Dowry Prohibition Act on the ground that complainant *de facto* (respondent no.2) has compromised the disputes with the petitioners.

2. State has no objection to this petition. Statements of parties have already been recorded by the concerned Joint Registrar.

CRL.M.C. 1981/2026

Page 1 of 2 pages

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed  
By:RAHUL YADAV  
Signing Date:01.07.2026  
17:09:11GIRISH  
KATHPALIADigitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA  
DN: cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI  
2.5.4.0=6F0408002737505091645c  
c4556af392d4f843524397426a4cc  
pos=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, c=IN  
pos=Code=11003, st=DL  
serialNumber=03607945454576511  
010206, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA  
Date: 2026.07.01 17:09:11 +05'30'



2026:DHC:5261



3. The respondent no.2 is present through videoconferencing and is identified by IO/ASI Vikram Singh. I have spoken with respondent no.2 in Hindi and it is stated by her that she has compromised all disputes with the petitioners. Marriage between petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 stands already dissolved by way of decree of divorce. One son was born from wedlock between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2; that son of parties is in custody of respondent no.2. The respondent no.2 submits that she has received complete full and final settlement amount in *lieu* of her entire *stridhan* and maintenance. Respondent no.2 submits that she does not wish to continue prosecution of petitioners.

4. Having spoken with respondent no.2, I am satisfied that it would be in the interest of justice not to push the parties through full dress trial.

5. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 142/2022 of PS Karawal Nagar for offence under Section 406/498A/34 IPC and Section 4 Dowry Prohibition Act as well as proceedings arising out of the same are quashed.

GIRISH  
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA  
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,  
2.5.4.20=8401dd889b27a77b2f65ffffeaf  
ec45589af3962c6fb4835d43f9f762cacc  
a, ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, CN=  
7047638, postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,  
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d  
15570996b40f80cb2ee60402c487965f  
f801e26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA  
Date: 2026.07.01 17:03:40 +05'30'

**GIRISH KATHPALIA  
(JUDGE)**

**JULY 01, 2026/as**

CRL.M.C. 1981/2026

Page 2 of 2 pages

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed  
By: RAHUL YADAV  
Signing Date: 01.07.2026  
17:09:11