



**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA**  
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**  
**SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. 7301 OF 2025**

**GBJ HOTELS PRIVATE LIMITED**

**...PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**SRIHARAN SRIPATHMANATHAN & ORS.**

**...RESPONDENTS**

**O R D E R**

1. GBJ Hotels Private Limited<sup>1</sup> is the petitioner in this special leave petition, which impugns an order dated 6<sup>th</sup> March 2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras<sup>2</sup> on a writ petition<sup>3</sup> presented by the respondents 1<sup>4</sup> and 2<sup>5</sup>.
2. Respondents 1 and 2 had received financial assistance provided by Edelweiss Finvest Limited. The debt was then assigned to Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Limited, respondent no.3<sup>6</sup>. Respondents 1 and 2 committed default in repayment of their dues. As borrowers, the respondents 1 and 2 owed approximately Rs. 135 crore to the Edelweiss ARCL as on date the impugned order was passed (recorded in paragraph no.4 thereof). In the writ petition, the respondents 1 and 2 had challenged an order of the relevant Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal, whereby they were ordered to

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by  
JATINDER KUR  
Date: 2025.04.29  
17:18:19 IST  
Reason:

**GBJ Hotels**

2 High Court

3 Writ Petition No. 1001 of 2025

4 Sriharan Sriparthmanathan

5 Asiana Hotel Management Company PTE Limited

6 Edelweiss ARCL

deposit 50% of the sum due, i.e., a little less than Rs.36 crore.

3. Pertinently, in pursuance of proceedings under the SARFAESI Act, 2002, an auction was conducted. Bid of GBJ Hotels for a sum of Rs.108 crore was accepted by Edelweiss ARCL.
4. Prior to the impugned order, the High Court had passed two orders on 26<sup>th</sup> February 2025 and 5<sup>th</sup> March, 2025.
5. Upon perusal of the order dated 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2025, it appears that counsel for the respondent no.1 submitted that he *"is ready with a third party who is ready to pay Rs. 120 crores for the same property, which is being sold for Rs. 108 Crores"*. Pursuant to this submission, the High Court directed the sum of Rs. 120 crore to be kept in deposit to show the *bona fides* of interested parties. The third party, although not named in the order, appears to be GRT Hotels & Resorts Private Limited<sup>7</sup>. This is clear upon a perusal of the letter of intent dated 4th March, 2025 addressed to the respondent no.1 whereby GRT Hotels expressed its intent to purchase the assets of the respondent no.4<sup>8</sup> (wherein the respondents 1 and 2 were directors) and which was under a process of corporate insolvency.
6. Thereafter, the said letter, along with a memorandum of compliance (stating deposit of Rs. 120 crore pursuant to the order dated 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2025) and demand draft for the said sum, were placed before the High Court. The High Court *vide* order dated 5<sup>th</sup> March, 2025 acknowledged the same. Learned counsel for GBJ Hotels was directed to obtain instructions as to whether it was willing to improve its offer.
7. No doubt, GBJ Hotels emerged as the successful auction purchaser in the process of sale conducted by Edelweiss ARCL. However, faced with the

<sup>7</sup> GRT Hotels

<sup>8</sup> Malabar Hotels Private Limited

situation that the High Court, in course of hearing, was informed of the offer of GRT Hotels that it had kept in deposit with the Registry of the High Court a sum of Rs.120 crore in terms of the order dated 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2025, the High Court had extended an opportunity to GBJ Hotels to improve its offer. Learned counsel appearing on its behalf on 6<sup>th</sup> May, 2025 expressed inability to pay a penny more than Rs.108 crore. The High Court thus felt, *“better the price, better it is for the creditor”*. The concluding part of the impugned order, which records that Edelweiss ARCL has agreed to accept the offer of GRT Hotels and to return the deposit of GBJ Hotels, left GBJ Hotels aggrieved triggering this petition primarily on the ground that sanctity of the auction under the SARFAESI Act has been breached in the process.

8. This special leave petition was considered by us on 24<sup>th</sup> March, 2025, for the first time. On the oral prayer of Dr. Singhvi, learned senior counsel, GRT Hotels was impleaded as the respondent no.8 in the special leave petition. On the same date, while directing relisting of the special leave petition on 28<sup>th</sup> March, 2025, we invited GBJ Hotels and GRT Hotels to improve their bids and submit the same in sealed covers by 27<sup>th</sup> March, 2025. Obviously, this was in exercise of our inherent power to fetch the best of offers when the secured asset was reportedly worth much more than what was offered before the High Court.

9. Order dated 28<sup>th</sup> March, 2025 passed by us recorded as follows:

- “1. Pursuant to the earlier order dated March 24, 2025, GBJ Hotels Private Limited/petitioner and GRT Hotels and Resorts Pvt. Ltd./respondent no.8 have submitted their offers in sealed covers.
2. Respondent no.8 outbids the petitioner by almost Rupees seventeen crore by offering Rupees one hundred fifty-three crore.
3. We call upon the respondent no.8 to deposit, with the Registry of this Court, Rupees thirty-three crore by 9<sup>th</sup> April, 2025. In default, the bid of the petitioner will be considered together with forfeiture of such amount out of Rupees one hundred twenty crore deposited by the

respondent no.8 earlier as this Court would deem fit and proper.

4. In case the deposit is made by the respondent no.8, Registry shall invest the said amount in a short-term fixed deposit interest bearing account of a nationalized bank on auto renewal basis, until further order.

5. Relist the special leave petition on 17<sup>th</sup> April, 2025.”

10. The next order dated 17<sup>th</sup> April, 2025 went on to record that GRT Hotels had, in compliance with the order dated 28<sup>th</sup> March, 2025, deposited in the Registry an additional sum of Rupees 33 crore, which was invested in compliance with the directions contained in such order. GRT Hotels was declared to be the successful bidder. However, Edelweiss ARCL was called upon to file an affidavit within a week from date indicating therein the sum that the respondents 1 and 2 as borrowers owed to it on the date the impugned order was passed by the High Court, with particulars. It was also recorded that Rs. 27 crore had been paid by Edelweiss ARCL to GBJ Hotels on 18<sup>th</sup> March, 2025 and that the question of awarding interest on the said sum of Rs. 27 crore shall be considered on the next date. The special leave petition was directed to be relisted on 28<sup>th</sup> April, 2025.
11. In compliance with the order dated 17<sup>th</sup> April, 2025, Edelweiss ARCL has filed an additional affidavit. In paragraph 10 of such affidavit, it is pleaded that the debt is to the tune of Rs.1,86,23,72,734.
12. Having regard to the current position when GRT Hotels has put in what was required of it in terms of the order dated 28<sup>th</sup> March, 2025 but Edelweiss ARCL claims that the debt much exceeds what it has received from GRT Hotels, we have heard objections being raised with regard to the contents of the additional affidavit of Edelweiss ARCL as well a contention that the secured asset has been sold to GRT Hotels at a throwaway price.
13. At this juncture, we do not consider it necessary to examine the quantum of

debt. The best available offer has been accepted. We are only tasked today to determine the rate of interest payable by Edelweiss ARCL to GBJ Hotels on the sum of Rs.27 crore which has been returned to the latter by the former and to also pass consequential directions with regard to declaration of GRT Hotels as the successful bidder.

14. Learned counsel appearing for Edelweiss ARCL has left the rate of interest to be awarded to our discretion. We direct that the sum of Rs.27 crore which has been returned to GBJ Hotels, shall carry interest at the rate of 18% per annum as follows:
  - a. on Rs. 10.80 crore, from 18.05.2024 to 18.03.2025; and
  - b. on Rs. 16.20 crore from 23.05.2025 to 18.03.2025.
15. Let the sum on account of interest be paid within 7 (seven) days.
16. We are informed that sale certificate has been issued in favour of GRT Hotels by Edelweiss ARCL.
17. Sale certificate having been issued, steps for delivery of peaceful and vacant possession of the assets of the respondent no.4 in favour of GRT Hotels and all other consequential steps, if any, shall be taken by Edelweiss ARCL in accordance with law within a month from date.
18. We clarify not having examined the claim of Edelweiss ARCL that the debt is now somewhere near Rs.186 crore + and that only Rs.153 crore could be recovered in course of the process of bidding that was undertaken pursuant to our order dated 28<sup>th</sup> March, 2025.
19. All questions, except the sale which stands confirmed, are left open for the parties to agitate before an appropriate forum in accordance with law, if so advised.

20. Edelweiss ARCL shall be at liberty to approach the Registry with a prayer for withdrawal of Rs.33 crore deposited by GRT Hotels. Once such approach is made, the said amount with accrued interest, if any, shall be released in favour of Edelweiss ARCL as early as possible.
21. With the aforesaid directions/observations, the special leave petition stands disposed of.
22. This order is passed in the special facts and circumstances of this case and is not to be treated as a precedent.

.....J.  
(DIPANKAR DATTA)

.....J.  
(MANMOHAN)

**NEW DELHI.  
APRIL 28, 2025.**

ITEM NO.52

COURT NO.14

SECTION XII

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal(C) No(s). 7301/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 06-03-2025 in WP No. 1001/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras]

GBJ HOTELS PRIVATE LIMITED

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SRIHARAN SRIPATHMANATHAN &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 66602/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 67567/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ ANNEXURES

Date : 28-04-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. G. Balaji, AOR  
Mr. Neeleshwar Pavani, Adv.  
Ms. Arzu Paul, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Rajeeve Mehra, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Anush Raajan, AOR  
Mr. Pradyumn Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Shakdher, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Jose John, Adv.  
Ms. Surekha Raman, Adv.  
Mr. M. Narendran, Adv.  
Mr. D.Prajesh, Adv.  
Mr. Shreyash Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Karan Khetani, Adv.  
Ms. Maithili Moondra, Adv.  
Mr. Jonathan Ivan Rajan, Adv.  
M/S. K J John And Co, AOR

Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. S. Ravi, Sr. Adv.  
Mrs. Indu Mathi Ravi, Adv.  
Mr. R. Sanjeev, Adv.  
Mr. V. Ramasubramanian, AOR

Mr. Satish Parasaran, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Vishnu Mohan, Adv.  
Mr. Ravi Raghunath, AOR

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R**

1. The special leave petition stands disposed of in terms of the reportable order.
2. Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

**(JATINDER KAUR)**  
**P.S. to REGISTRAR**

**(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)**  
**COURT MASTER (NSH)**

[Signed reportable order is placed on the file]